

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
FLORENCE DIVISION

Albert S. Kelly, # 277334,	)	C.A. No. 4:07-02186-TLW-TER
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER
	)	
Richard Bazzle; James Simmons, III; Mary )		
Coleman; Officer Ragland; Stephen Claytor; )		
Officer Wessinger; Jean Rutledge Buttca; )		
and Dr. Lewis,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Rogers recommends that this action be dismissed pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No objections to the Report have been filed.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 8), and the above captioned case is dismissed pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Terry L. Wooten

**TERRY L. WOOTEN**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

October 18, 2007  
Florence, South Carolina